

Report Bimzelx® - Bimekizumab

Product & Mechanism of action	Authorized indications Licensing status	Essential therapeutic features	NHS impact																																																						
<p>Substance: Bimekizumab</p> <p>Brand Name: Bimzelx®</p> <p>Originator/lic ensee: UCB Pharma S.A.</p> <p>Classification: NCE</p> <p>ATC code: L04AC21</p> <p>Orphan Status: Eu: No Us: -</p> <p>Mechanism of action: Bimekizumab is arecombinant humanised IgG1 monoclonal antibody that works by inhibiting IL-17A and IL-17F signalling[1].</p>	<p>Authorized Indication: EMA: Bimekizumab is indicated for the treatment of MSPP in adults who are candidates for systemic therapy [1].</p> <p>Route of administration: SC</p> <p>Licensing status EU CHMP P.O. date: 24/06/2021 FDA M.A. date: -</p> <p>EU Speed Approval Pathway: No -----</p> <p>ABBREVIATIONS: ADA:Adalimumab Adj.:Adjusted AE:Adverse event BIM: Bimekizumab CHMP:Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use CI: Confidence Interval IGA: Investigator’s Global Assessment IL: Interleukin M.A.: Marketing Authorization P.O.: Positive Opinion MSPP:Moderate-to-Severe Plaque Psoriasis PASI90: 90% or greater improvement from baseline in the PASI pts:patients Q4W: Every four weeks Q8W: Every eight weeks RD: Risk Difference SC: Subcutaneous TEAE:Treatment-emergent AE URT:Upper respiratory tract infection UST: Ustekinumab</p>	<p>Summary of clinical EFFICACY: BE READY trial (NCT03410992): is a phase 3, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial; 435 adults (≥18 yrs) with MSPP were randomly assigned (4:1) to receive BIM 320 mg Q4W (n = 349) or placebo (n=86) for initial treatment. Coprimary endpoints were the proportion of pts achieving PASI90 and an IGA score of 0 or 1*, both at week 16. At week 16, PASI90 was achieved by 317 (91%) pts in the BIM group vs. one (1%) in the placebo group (RD 89.8 [95% CI 86.1-93.4] p<0.0001). An IGA score of 0 or 1 was achieved by 323 (93%) BIM arm vs. one (1%) in the placebo arm (RD 91.5 [95% CI 88.0-94.9] p<0.0001) [2]. BE VIVID trial (NCT03370133): is a phase 3, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, active comparator and placebo-controlled trial where 567 adult pts with MSPP were randomly assigned (4:2:1) to receive BIM 320 mg Q4W (n=321), UST 45 mg or 90 mg at weeks 0 and 4, then Q12W (n= 163), or placebo Q4W (n=83). The coprimary efficacy endpoints were the proportion of pts achieving PASI90 and an IGA score of 0 or 1, both at week 16. 273 (85%) pts in the BIM group had PASI90 at week 16, compared with 81 (50%) in the UST group (RD 35 [95%CI 27-43] p<0.0001) and four (5%) in the placebo group (RD 80 [95% CI 74-86] p<0.0001). 270 (84%) pts receiving BIM had an IGA response at week 16, compared with 87 (53%) receiving UST (RD 30 [95% CI 22-39] p<0.0001) and four (5%) of 83 receiving placebo (RD 79 [95% CI 73-85]; p<0.0001) [3]. BE SURE trial (NCT03412747): is a phase 3, multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial; 478 adults with MSPP were randomly assigned in a 1:1:1 ratio to receive SC BIM 320 mg Q4W for 56 weeks (n=158); SC BIM 320 mg Q4W for 16 weeks then Q8W for weeks 16 to 56 (n=161); or SC ADA 40 mg Q2W for 24 weeks, followed by BIM 320 mg Q4W to week 56 (n=159). The primary endpoints were the proportion of pts achieving PASI90 and an IGA score of 0 or 1, both at week 16. 275 pts (86.2%) who received BIM and 75 (47.2%) who received ADA had a PASI90 response (adj. RD, 39.3 [95% CI 30.9-47.7] p<0.001). A total of 272 (85.3%) pts who received BIM and 91 (57.2%) who received ADA had an IGA score of 0 or 1 (adj. RD, 28.2 [95% CI, 19.7-36.7] p<0.001) [4]. ★efficacy results refer to weeks 0-16 (pts were subsequently re-allocated to follow a new treatment regime -or to continue the previous one-). Results for weeks 16-52/56 are available on trials’ publications [2] [3] [4]. *PASI (Psoriasis Area Severity Index) is a composite index with scores ranging from 0 to 72, based on three dermatologic disease characteristics and the body area of dermatologic disease involvement. IGA (Investigator Global Assessment) score of 0 or 1 = “clear” or “almost clear”, with at least two categories of improvement from baseline, assessed on the five point IGA scale.</p> <p>Summary of clinical SAFETY: Safety results are summarized in Table 1. The most common TEAEs across the BIM treatment groups in BE READY and BE VIVID clinical trials were nasopharyngitis (7% and 9%, respectively), oral candidiasis (6% and 9%) and URTI (4% and 3%). In the BE SURE clinical trial, the most common AEs in the BIM Q4W and BIM Q4W→Q8W were URTI (30% and 28%, respectively), oral candidiasis (10% and 12%), hypertension (4% and 6%) and diarrhea (5% and 3%)*.*.</p> <p><i>Table 1: TEAEs in the safety population.</i></p> <table><tr><th></th><th colspan="2">BE READY (Weeks 0-16)</th><th colspan="3">BE VIVID (Weeks 0-16)</th><th colspan="3">BE SURE (Weeks 0-24)</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>Placebo (n=86)</th><th>BIM Q4W (n=349)</th><th>Placebo (n=83)</th><th>BIM Q4W (n=321)</th><th>UST (n=163)</th><th>BIM Q4W (n=158)</th><th>BIM Q4W→Q8W (n=161)</th><th>ADA (n=159)</th></tr><tr><td>Any TEAE</td><td>35 (41%)</td><td>213 (61%)</td><td>39 (47%)</td><td>181 (56%)</td><td>83 (51%)</td><td>112 (71%)</td><td>116 (72%)</td><td>111 (70%)</td></tr><tr><td>Serious TEAEs</td><td>2 (2%)</td><td>6 (2%)</td><td>2 (2%)</td><td>5 (2%)</td><td>5 (3%)</td><td>4 (3%)</td><td>1 (<1%)</td><td>5 (3%)</td></tr><tr><td>Drop-out due to TEAEs</td><td>0</td><td>3 (1%)</td><td>6 (7%)</td><td>6 (2%)</td><td>3 (2%)</td><td>3 (2%)</td><td>6 (4%)</td><td>5 (3%)</td></tr><tr><td>Deaths</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1 (1%)</td><td>1 (<1%)</td><td>1 (1%)</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1 (<1%)</td></tr></table> <p>**Safety results refer to weeks 0-16 for BE READY and BE VIVID clinical trials and to weeks 0-24 for BE SURE clinical trial.</p> <p>Ongoing studies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">● For the same indication: Yes (NCT03598790). NCT03536884 already published.● For other indications: Yes <p>Discontinued studies (for the same indication): No</p> <p>References: [1]. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/bimzelx [2]. Gordon K. B., et al. Bimekizumab efficacy and safety in moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (BE READY): a multicentre, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised withdrawal phase 3 trial. <i>Lancet</i> 2021; 397: 475–86. [3]. Reich K., et al. Bimekizumab versus ustekinumab for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (BE VIVID): efficacy and safety from a 52-week, multicentre, double-blind, active comparator and placebo controlled phase 3 trial. <i>Lancet</i> 2021; 397: 487-98 [4]. Warren R. B., et al. Bimekizumab versus Adalimumab in Plaque Psoriasis.<i>N Engl J Med</i> 2021; 385:130-141. [5]. https://www.ordinemedicilatina.it/files/LG_Psoriasi.pdf [6]. Gruppo di lavoro multidisciplinare in dermatologia Regione Emilia-Romagna. <i>Trattamento sistemico della psoriasi cronica a placche moderata-grave con particolare riferimento ai farmaci biotecnologici</i>. Linee guida terapeutiche n.1. Aggiornamento maggio 2019. Assessorato Cura della persona, Salute e Welfare Regione Emilia Romagna. [7]. Gisondi P., Altomare G., et al.: Italian guidelines on the systemic treatments of moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis. <i>J EADV</i>, 2017; 31, 774–790. [8]. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en [9].https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=&term=&type=&rslt=&recrs=b&recrs=a&recrs=f&recrs=d&recrs=e&age_v=&gndr=&intr=Bimekizumab&titles=&outc=&spons=&lead=&id=&cntry=&state=&city=&dist=&locn=&phase=2&rsub=&strd_s=&strd_e=&prcd_s=&prcd_e=&sfpd_s=&sfpd_e=&rfpd_s=&rfpd_e=&lupd_s=&lupd_e=&sort= [10].https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?cond=Plaque+Psoriasis&term=&type=&rslt=&recrs=b&recrs=a&recrs=f&recrs=d&recrs=e&age_v=&age=1&gndr=&intr=&titles=&outc=&spons=&lead=&id=&cntry=&state=&city=&dist=&locn=&phase=2&rsub=&strd_s=&strd_e=&prcd_s=&prcd_e=&sfpd_s=&sfpd_e=&rfpd_s=&rfpd_e=&lupd_s=&lupd_e=&sort=</p>		BE READY (Weeks 0-16)		BE VIVID (Weeks 0-16)			BE SURE (Weeks 0-24)				Placebo (n=86)	BIM Q4W (n=349)	Placebo (n=83)	BIM Q4W (n=321)	UST (n=163)	BIM Q4W (n=158)	BIM Q4W→Q8W (n=161)	ADA (n=159)	Any TEAE	35 (41%)	213 (61%)	39 (47%)	181 (56%)	83 (51%)	112 (71%)	116 (72%)	111 (70%)	Serious TEAEs	2 (2%)	6 (2%)	2 (2%)	5 (2%)	5 (3%)	4 (3%)	1 (<1%)	5 (3%)	Drop-out due to TEAEs	0	3 (1%)	6 (7%)	6 (2%)	3 (2%)	3 (2%)	6 (4%)	5 (3%)	Deaths	0	0	1 (1%)	1 (<1%)	1 (1%)	0	0	1 (<1%)	<p>Cost of therapy:Not available.</p> <p>Epidemiology:plaque psoriasis is the most frequent clinical variant of psoriasis. In the general Italianpopulationit is estimated at 2.8%, with a higher frequency in males. It is estimated that about 1,500,000 Italians are affected by the disease [5] [6].</p> <p>-----</p> <p>POSSIBLE PLACE IN THERAPY</p> <p>Systemic treatments for MSPP approved in Italy include either phototherapy, narrowband UV B light, or photochemotherapy (i.e. psoralen plus UVA light), and systemic agents such as cyclosporine, methotrexate, acitretin, apremilast, TNF-α blockers (etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab), ustekinumab and secukinumab [7]. Recently, certolizumab, brodalumab, dimethyl fumarate, ixekizumab, tildrakizumab and guselkumab received authorization for the treatment of MSPP in adults who are candidates for systemic therapy [8].</p> <p>OTHER INDICATIONS IN DEVELOPMENT: Ankylosing Spondylitis (NCT03355573); Hidradenitis Suppurativa (NCT04901195); Axial Spondyloarthritis (NCT04436640); Psoriatic Arthritis (NCT04009499) [9].</p> <p>SAME INDICATION IN EARLIER LINE(S) OF TREATMENT: -</p> <p>OTHER DRUGS IN DEVELOPMENT for the SAME INDICATION: SHR-1314; SB17 (Proposed Ustekinumab Biosimilar); CF101; Mirikizumab; BMS-986165; Risankizumab [10].</p> <p>*Service reorganization: No</p> <p>*Possible off label use: Yes</p>
	BE READY (Weeks 0-16)		BE VIVID (Weeks 0-16)			BE SURE (Weeks 0-24)																																																			
	Placebo (n=86)	BIM Q4W (n=349)	Placebo (n=83)	BIM Q4W (n=321)	UST (n=163)	BIM Q4W (n=158)	BIM Q4W→Q8W (n=161)	ADA (n=159)																																																	
Any TEAE	35 (41%)	213 (61%)	39 (47%)	181 (56%)	83 (51%)	112 (71%)	116 (72%)	111 (70%)																																																	
Serious TEAEs	2 (2%)	6 (2%)	2 (2%)	5 (2%)	5 (3%)	4 (3%)	1 (<1%)	5 (3%)																																																	
Drop-out due to TEAEs	0	3 (1%)	6 (7%)	6 (2%)	3 (2%)	3 (2%)	6 (4%)	5 (3%)																																																	
Deaths	0	0	1 (1%)	1 (<1%)	1 (1%)	0	0	1 (<1%)																																																	