

Report FIRMAGON® degarelix

Product & Mechanism of action	Authorized indications Licensing status	Essential therapeutic features				NHS impact										
Substance: degarelix Brand Name: Firmagon Originator/licens ee: Ferring Pharmaceuticals A/S Classification: NI ATC code: L02BX02 Orphan Status: Eu: - Us: - Mechanism of action: Degarelix is a selective GnRH antagonist that competitively and reversibly binds to the pituitary GnRH receptors, thereby rapidly reducing the release of the gonadotrophins, LH and FSH, and thereby reducing the secretion of testosterone by the testes [1].	Authorized Indication: EMA: degarelix is a GnRH antagonist indicated 1) for the treatment of high-risk localized and locally advanced hormone-dependent prostate cancer in combination with radiotherapy 2) as neo-adjuvant treatment prior to radiotherapy in pts with high-risk localized or locally advanced hormone-dependent prostate cancer [2]. Route of administration: IV Licensing status EMA MA date: 19/10/2021 FDA M.A. date: - EU Speed Approval Pathway: - ----- ABBREVIATIONS: ADT: androgen deprivation therapy AEs: Adverse Events BL: Baseline CI: confidence interval CHMP: Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use FSH: follicle stimulating hormone GnRH: gonadotrophin releasing hormone IV: Intravenous LH: luteinizing hormone M.A.: Marketing Authorization P.O.: Positive Opinion PSA: prostate-specific antigen Pts: patients RT: radiotherapy SAEs: Serious Adverse Events SC: subcutaneous SoC: Standard of Care TEAEs: Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events TPV: total prostate volume vs.: versus	Summary of clinical EFFICACY:				Cost of therapy: The Italian price for one injection of degarelix (80 mg vial for injectable solution) is 212.90 € [4]. Epidemiology: In Italy, prostatic carcinoma is currently the most frequent neoplasm among men, and represents more than 20% of all tumors diagnosed around the 50th year of age. The largest proportion of pts has to be found in the North of the country (1,428 cases per 100,000 inhabitants in the Northwest and 1,395 in the Northeast, respectively) compared to the Center (1,015) and the South (588) [5]. ----- POSSIBLE PLACE IN THERAPY The SoC for high-risk localized and locally advanced hormone-dependant prostate cancer is neo-adjuvant ADT for 4-6 months (± neoadjuvant docetaxel), followed by electron beam radiotherapy + ADT and adjuvant ADT for the subsequent two years. The alternative option includes radical prostatectomy + pelvic lymphadenectomy [6]. OTHER INDICATIONS IN DEVELOPMENT: Yes (Endometriosis, Female infertility). SAME INDICATION IN EARLIER LINE(S) OF TREATMENT: No. OTHER DRUGS IN DEVELOPMENT for the SAME INDICATION: Yes (flutamide, apalutamide). *Service reorganization Y/N: No *Possible off label use Y/N: Yes										
		<table><tr><th>Trial</th><th>Treatment arms</th><th>Primary endpoint</th><th>Results</th></tr><tr><td>Study 00006 (NCT01744366) open-label, multicenter, randomized, non-inferiority trial, in adult men with a histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate, PSA level ≥2.0 ng/mL at screening and life expectancy of >1 year</td><td>-degarelix (n=142; starting dose of 240 mg administered at day 0 followed by 12 monthly -28-day intervals- maintenance doses of 80 mg), -goserelin (n=141; administered as 12 monthly doses)</td><td>Difference in 1-year cumulative probability of suppressing testosterone to ≤0.5 ng/mL. Non-inferiority was to be established if the lower 95% CI limit ≥ -10</td><td>The difference between the treatment arms was 3.6% (95% CI: -1.5%, 8.7%), demonstrating non-inferiority of degarelix. Probabilities of maintaining castrate testosterone levels was 97.0% (95% CI: 92.3%, 98.9%) in degarelix arm vs. 93.4% (95% CI: 87.7%, 96.5%) in goserelin arm [3].</td></tr><tr><td>Study CS30 (NCT00833248), randomized, parallel-arm, active controlled, open-label trial, in adult men with TPV>30 ml; scheduled to undergo radical RT treatment and in whom neoadjuvant ADT was indicated</td><td>-degarelix (n=181; 240 mg administered at day 0 and 80 mg at day 28, 56) -bicalutamide (n=65; 50 mg) was initiated on day 0 and continued for 17 days. Goserelin (n=65; 3.6 mg) administered at day 3, 31, 59.</td><td>The mean percentage reduction in TPV at 12 weeks as compared to BL.</td><td>TPV decreased from BL to week 12 of -36.0 ± 14.5% in degarelix arm vs. -35.3 ± 16.7% in the goserelin arm. The adjusted difference between treatment groups was -0.3% (95% CI -7.74; 4.14%) [3].</td></tr></table>	Trial	Treatment arms	Primary endpoint	Results	Study 00006 (NCT01744366) open-label, multicenter, randomized, non-inferiority trial, in adult men with a histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate, PSA level ≥2.0 ng/mL at screening and life expectancy of >1 year	-degarelix (n=142; starting dose of 240 mg administered at day 0 followed by 12 monthly -28-day intervals- maintenance doses of 80 mg), -goserelin (n=141; administered as 12 monthly doses)	Difference in 1-year cumulative probability of suppressing testosterone to ≤0.5 ng/mL. Non-inferiority was to be established if the lower 95% CI limit ≥ -10	The difference between the treatment arms was 3.6% (95% CI: -1.5%, 8.7%), demonstrating non-inferiority of degarelix. Probabilities of maintaining castrate testosterone levels was 97.0% (95% CI: 92.3%, 98.9%) in degarelix arm vs. 93.4% (95% CI: 87.7%, 96.5%) in goserelin arm [3].	Study CS30 (NCT00833248) , randomized, parallel-arm, active controlled, open-label trial, in adult men with TPV>30 ml; scheduled to undergo radical RT treatment and in whom neoadjuvant ADT was indicated	-degarelix (n=181; 240 mg administered at day 0 and 80 mg at day 28, 56) -bicalutamide (n=65; 50 mg) was initiated on day 0 and continued for 17 days. Goserelin (n=65; 3.6 mg) administered at day 3, 31, 59.	The mean percentage reduction in TPV at 12 weeks as compared to BL.	TPV decreased from BL to week 12 of -36.0 ± 14.5% in degarelix arm vs. -35.3 ± 16.7% in the goserelin arm. The adjusted difference between treatment groups was -0.3% (95% CI -7.74; 4.14%) [3].	Summary of clinical SAFETY: Study 00006: The incidence of TEAEs was higher with degarelix than with goserelin (76% vs. 59%, respectively). Predominantly general disorders and administration site conditions were reported in 52% of the degarelix pts vs. 8% of the goserelin pts. Pts with metastatic disease reported higher incidence of severe AEs in the goserelin group as compared to the degarelix group (14% vs. 7%, respectively). SAEs were reported by 12 pts (9%) treated with degarelix vs. 18 (13%) pts treated with goserelin. The most common SAEs were cardiac disorders, which occurred in five pts (4%) in the degarelix group vs. two pts (1%) in the goserelin group. Two pts (each one in each group) up had SAEs considered as treatment-related (acute kidney injury and lung infection possibly related to degarelix and femur fracture and haematuria possibly related to goserelin). Two pts (1%) receiving degarelix had increased PSA levels that were reported as SAEs, of which one SAE led to withdrawal of the patient from the study [3]. Study CS30: TEAEs were reported by 87 and 83% of pts in the degarelix and goserelin groups, respectively. TEAEs that were considered possibly/probably related to the drug were reported by 78 and 73% of pts, respectively. Most of the TEAEs were hot flushes (60% degarelix, 63% goserelin). Other commonly reported reactions were injection site reactions (pain [33%], erythema [25%], pruritus [7%] and swelling [6%]), which were reported in the degarelix group only, erectile dysfunction (8% degarelix, 9% goserelin), asthenia (7 and 9%), fatigue (6 and 9%) and decreased libido (7 and 6%). Serious AEs considered as probably/possibly related to the treatment were reported in two pts in the degarelix arm (liver enzyme elevations and urinary retention) [3]. Ongoing studies: <ul style="list-style-type: none">● For the same indication: Yes.● For other indications: Yes. Discontinued studies (for the same indication): No. References: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/firmagon-epar-product-information_en.pdf2. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/firmagon3. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/variation-report/firmagon-h-c-000986-ii-0039-g-epar-assessment-report-variation_en.pdf4. https://gallery.farmadati.it/5. https://www.aiom.it/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/2020_LG_AIOM_Carcinoma_Prostata.pdf6. https://www.annalsofoncology.org/article/S0923-7534(20)39898-7/fulltext	
Trial	Treatment arms	Primary endpoint	Results													
Study 00006 (NCT01744366) open-label, multicenter, randomized, non-inferiority trial, in adult men with a histologically confirmed adenocarcinoma of the prostate, PSA level ≥2.0 ng/mL at screening and life expectancy of >1 year	-degarelix (n=142; starting dose of 240 mg administered at day 0 followed by 12 monthly -28-day intervals- maintenance doses of 80 mg), -goserelin (n=141; administered as 12 monthly doses)	Difference in 1-year cumulative probability of suppressing testosterone to ≤0.5 ng/mL. Non-inferiority was to be established if the lower 95% CI limit ≥ -10	The difference between the treatment arms was 3.6% (95% CI: -1.5%, 8.7%), demonstrating non-inferiority of degarelix. Probabilities of maintaining castrate testosterone levels was 97.0% (95% CI: 92.3%, 98.9%) in degarelix arm vs. 93.4% (95% CI: 87.7%, 96.5%) in goserelin arm [3].													
Study CS30 (NCT00833248) , randomized, parallel-arm, active controlled, open-label trial, in adult men with TPV>30 ml; scheduled to undergo radical RT treatment and in whom neoadjuvant ADT was indicated	-degarelix (n=181; 240 mg administered at day 0 and 80 mg at day 28, 56) -bicalutamide (n=65; 50 mg) was initiated on day 0 and continued for 17 days. Goserelin (n=65; 3.6 mg) administered at day 3, 31, 59.	The mean percentage reduction in TPV at 12 weeks as compared to BL.	TPV decreased from BL to week 12 of -36.0 ± 14.5% in degarelix arm vs. -35.3 ± 16.7% in the goserelin arm. The adjusted difference between treatment groups was -0.3% (95% CI -7.74; 4.14%) [3].													