Report ZERBAXA® - ceftolozane / tazobactam

Product & Mechanism of action	Authorized indications Licensing status	Essential therapeutic features	NHS impact
Substance: ceftolozane /	Authorized	Summary of clinical EFFICACY: Study NCT03217136: phase 2, randomized, active comparator-controlled,	Cost of therapy: the Italian ex-factory cost of 1 vial of Zerbaxa 1 g + 0,5 g is € 136,5.
tazobactam	Indication:	multicenter, double-blind trial to study safety and efficacy of ceftolozane/tazobactam+metronidazole (70 pts)	This dose correspond to the maximum single dose to be administered every 8 or 12
Brand Name: Zerbaxa	EMA: is indicated for the treatment of	vs meropenem+placebo in pediatric pts (21 pts) with complicated intra-abdominal infection. The primary outcomes were: a) the number of pts experiencing ≥1 AEs up to 75 days; b) the number of pts who	hours for 5 or 14 days. The cost for a 14 days treatment (T.I.D.) which correspond to the maximum dosage is € 5.733,00 (9).
Originator/licensee:	the following	discontinued study therapy due to AEs up to 18 days. a) 56/70 (80%) pts with ceftolozane/tazobactam	Epidemiology: In Italy, according to the last prevalence point survey (ECDC, 2016)
Merck Sharp & Dohme	infections in adult	+metronidazole vs 13/21 (61.9%) pts with meropenem+placebo experienced ≥1 AEs up to 75 days (difference	are estimated from 450.000 to 700.000 infections a year, with an incidence
B.V.	and paediatric pts:	in percentage 18.1; CI 95% -2.6 - 41.1). b) 2/70 (2.9%) pts with ceftolozane/tazobactam+metronidazole vs	between 5 and 8%. Intra-abdominal infections are 5.6% of nosocomial infections,
	- Complicated	0/21 (0%) pts with meropenem+placebo discontinued study therapy due to AEs up to 18 days (difference in	and almost all present in a complicated form, mainly caused by Gram-negative
Classification: NI	intra-abdominal	percentage 2.9; CI 95% 12.9 - 9.9) (4).	bacteria such as Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter
	infections;	Study NCT03230838: phase 2, randomized, active comparator-controlled, multicenter, double-blind clinical	spp. The Global Prevalence Study on Infections in Urology estimates that 1,866 of
ATC code: J01DI54	- Acute	trial to study the safety and efficacy of ceftolozane/tazobactam (100 pts) versus meropenem (33 pts) in	19,756 (9.4%) urological pts hospitalized between 2005 and 2017 developed
Orphan Status:	pyelonephritis;	pediatric pts with complicated urinary tract infection, including pyelonephritis. Primary outcome measures	complicated urinary tract infection during their hospital stay. Urinary tract
· ·	- Complicated	were: a) the number of pts with ≥1 AEs up to day 88; b) the number of pts discontinuing study therapy due to	infections represent the 21% of the healthcare-related infection in Italy (10-11).
Eu: No (1)	urinary tract	AEs up to day 15. a) 59/100 (59%) pts with ceftolozane/tazobactam vs 20/33 (60.6%) pts with meropenem	
Us : No (2)	infections (3).	experienced ≥1 AEs up to 88 days. b) 1/100 (1%) pts with ceftolozane/tazobactam vs 0/33 (0%) pts with	POSSIBLE PLACE IN THERAPY: antibiotics are used to treat pts with intra-abdominal
Mechanism of action:	illiections (3).	meropenem discontinued study therapy due to AEs up to 15 days (5).	infections based upon susceptibility (anaerobic, pseudomonas, non-resistant
Ceftolozane is a	Route of	Summary of clinical SAFETY:	enterococci, enterobacteriaceae, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase coverage).
cephalosporin, which	administration: IV	Study NCT03217136: 46/70 (65.71%) pts treated with ceftolozane/tazobactam+metronidazole were affected	Options for anaerobic coverage are: amoxicillin/clavulanate, eravacycline,
belongs to the beta-		by non serious AEs vs 10/21 (47.62%) pts treated with meropenem+placebo. The most frequent non serious	ertapenem, mipenem-cilastatin, meropenem, metronidazole,
lactams. It works by	Licensing status	AEs in the treatment group were: diarrhea (18.57%)]; pyrexia (12.86%); incision site pain (10.00%); abdominal	piperacillin/tazobactam, tigecycline. Options for pseudomonas coverage are:
interfering with the	EU CHMP P.O. date:	pain (10.00%); vomiting (10.00%). 11.43% of pts treated with ceftolozane/tazobactam + metronidazole were	amikacin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, imipenem-cilastatin, meropenem,
production of molecules	EU M.A. date:	affected by serious AEs vs none treated with meropenem+placebo. No deaths were reported. Serious AEs in	piperacillin/tazobactam. Options for non-resistant enterococci coverage are:
that bacteria need to build	23/06/2022 (3)	the treatment group were: constipation, faecaloma, intestinal obstruction, intra-abdomina fluid collection,	amoxicillin/clavulanate, eravacycline, piperacillin/tazobactam, tigecycline. Options
their protective cell walls	FDA M.A. date:	abdominal sepsis, arthritis bacterial, lower respiratory tract infection, white blood cell count increased	for enterobacteriaceae coverage are: amikacin, ceftolozane/tazobactam,
causing weakness in the	21/04/2022 (2)	(1.43%), pneumonia (2.86%)(4).	cefotaxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, ertapenem, imipenem-cilastatin, meropenem,
bacterial cell walls which		Study NCT03230838: 25/100 (25.00%) pts treated with ceftolozane/tazobactam were affected by non	piperacillin/tazobactam. Options for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase are:
become prone to collapse,	EU Speed Approval	serious AEs vs 10/33 (30.30%) pts treated with meropenem. The most frequent non serious AEs in the	ceftazidime/avibactam, ceftolozane/tazobactam, eravacycline, ertapenem,
leading to the death of the	Pathway: No (1)	treatment group were: thrombocytosis (7.00%), diarrhea (7.00%), pyrexia (6.00%). 3.00% of pts treated with	imipenem-cilastatin, meropenem, tigecycline.
bacteria. Tazobactam	FDA Speed	ceftolozane/tazobactam were affected by serious AEs vs (6.06%) pts treated with meropenem. Serious AEs in	The European Association of Urology recommendation for complicated urinary
blocks the action of	Approval Pathway:	the treatment group were: pyelonephritis, pyelonephritis acute, upper respiratory tract infection each	tract infections propose to use the combination of: amoxicillin plus an
bacterial enzymes beta-	Yes (2)	(1.00%). No deaths were reported (5).	aminoglycoside; a second generation cephalosporin plus an aminoglycoside; a third
lactamases. These		Ongoing studies:	generation cephalosporin intravenously as empirical treatment of complicated
enzymes enable bacteria	ABBREVIATIONS:	For the same indication: No (6 - 7)	urinary tract infections with systemic symptoms (12-13).
to break down beta-lactam	AEs: Adverse Events	For other indications: No (8)	
antibiotics like	IV: intravenous	Discontinued studies (for the same indication): No (6-7)	OTHER INDICATIONS IN DEVELOPMENT Yes [Neutropenia, Febrile Hematologic
ceftolozane, making the	Pts: patients	Discontinued statics (for the same materials), no (o),	Cancer, Ventilator-associated Pneumonia]
bacteria resistant to the		References:	
antibiotic's action.		1. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/zerbaxa 2. https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/mody/chmo-post-authorisation-summary-positive-opinion-zerbaxa.en.pdf	SAME INDICATION IN EARLIER LINE(S) OF TREATMENT: No (6-7)
Blocking these enzymes,		3. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/dat/index.ctm?eventr-BasicSearch.process 4. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03217136Rem=NCT0321713	
tazobactam allows		5. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/c12/show/NKT032308387erm=NKT032308388draw=2&rank=1 6. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/c12/show/NKT032308388draw=2&rank=1 6. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/c12/show/nKT03230838draw=2&rank=1 6. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/c12	OTHER DRUGS IN DEVELOPMENT for the SAME INDICATION Yes [Cefiderocol,
ceftolozane to act against		7. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=ceftolozano+tazobactam&cond=Complicated+Urinary+Tract+Infection&age_v=&age=0&gndr=&type=&rsit+&Search=Apply 8. https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=ceftolozano+tazobactam&age_v=&age=0&gndr=&type=&rsit+&Search=Apply	benzilpenicillin]
bacteria that would		9. https://gallery.farmadati.tr/ 10. https://springerhealthcare.it/GiHTAD/2019/09/25/valutazione-dellimpatto-delle-strategie-antibiotiche-nel-trattamento-delle-infezioni-intraddominali-complicate-in-un-policlinico-	[if it is]
otherwise be resistant to		universitario/fi:":text=Secondo%20uno%20studio%20italiano%20le.(clAl)%20%586%5D. 11. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41585-020-0362-4.pdf	*Service reorganization Y/N No
ceftolozane (1).		12. https://wies.biomedcentral.com/track/fdf/0.1186/s13017-021-00387-8.pdf 13. https://doi.org/nord/ord/fortn.net/focuments/pocket-guidelines/EAU-Pocket-on-Urological-Infections-2022.pdf 14. https://gringerhealthzer.et/igi/HTAQ/by-content/uploads/2019/09/GHTAQ-Piccione. 12. 4. 0708.pdf	*Possible off label use Y/N No
certolozane (1).		14. https://springerhealthcare.it/GHTAD/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/GHTAD-Piccione 12 4 0708.pdf	