Report IMFINZI® - Durvalumab

Licensing status rized Indication: Durvalumab in combination with Im-based chemotherapy as uvant treatment, followed by umab as monotherapy as nt treatment, is indicated for treatment of adults with bile NSCLC at high risk of ence and no EGFR mutations or	Adults ≥18 years of age, with r AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, surgical treatment, no prior ext of tumour PD-L1 expression.) was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-conewly diagnosed, previously untreated, stage 8th edition), resectable NSCLC and ECOG-PS	IIa to stage IIIb (according to the		Cost of therapy: In Italy, 500 mg of IMFINZI® concentrate for infusion	
Durvalumab in combination with im-based chemotherapy as uvant treatment, followed by umab as monotherapy as nt treatment, is indicated for treatment of adults with able NSCLC at high risk of	AEGEAN Study (NCT03800134 Adults ≥18 years of age, with r AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, surgical treatment, no prior ext of tumour PD-L1 expression.) was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-conewly diagnosed, previously untreated, stage 8th edition), resectable NSCLC and ECOG-PS	IIa to stage IIIb (according to the		In Italy, 500 mg of IMFINZI® concentrate for infusion	
uvant treatment, followed by umab as monotherapy as nt treatment, is indicated for treatment of adults with able NSCLC at high risk of	AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, surgical treatment, no prior export tumour PD-L1 expression.	8th edition), resectable NSCLC and ECOG-PS		anatomic classification in the	cost € 2,631.59 [4].	
umab as monotherapy as nt treatment, is indicated for treatment of adults with able NSCLC at high risk of	surgical treatment, no prior export of tumour PD-L1 expression.	**	Adults ≥18 years of age, with newly diagnosed, previously untreated, stage III to stage III (according to the anatomic classification in the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 8th edition), resectable NSCLC and ECOG-PS of 0 or 1 were enrolled. Pts. must be candidates for planned			
nt treatment, is indicated for treatment of adults with able NSCLC at high risk of	of tumour PD-L1 expression.		surgical treatment, no prior exposure to immune-mediated therapy and at least one RECIST v.1.1 target lesion. Pts. were enrolled regardless			
able NSCLC at high risk of						
	Dis (n=003) was randomized 1.1 to receive four success of platforms benefit to the success of th				Lung cancer is the leading cause of death from cancer in industrialised countries.	
arrangements [1].	Pts. (n=802) were randomized 1:1 to receive four cycles of platinum-based chemotherapy plus durvalumab 1,500 mg (n=400) or placebo (n=402) administered IV, followed by adjuvant Durvalumab 1,500 mg as a single agent or placebo every four weeks for up to 12 cycles post-surgery. Randomization was stratified according to disease stage (II or III) and PD-L1 expression (<1% or ≥1%).				In Italy the number of new cases per year is around 35-40,000 [5]. NSCLC accounts for 80%-90% of lung cancers [6].	
ourvalumab in combination with	The primary end points were event-free survival by BICR and pCR in the modified ITT population, which excluded pts. with documented EGFR or ALK alterations.				Currently, about two-thirds of pts with NSCLC are diagnosed with locally advanced or metastatic	
-					disease (stage III or IV) [7].	
umab continued as a single	At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.53					
as adjuvant treatment after						
y, is indicated for the treatment	(35% CI, 07.3 to 70.1), as compared with 04.5% of the pts., who received placedo (35% CI, 58.8 to 59.6).				POSSIBLE PLACE IN THERAPY:	
	Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]:				For pts with NSCLC without any types of mutations	
		pCR	Durvalumab arm (n=400)	Placebo arm	there are limited treatment options.	
ngements [2].	Base on final analysis	pCR rate, % (95% CI)			First-line therapy for squamous NSCLC is	
	7		•	,	represented by platinum-based chemotherapy	
of administration: IV	(uata 110111 402 pts) p-value < 0.0001				(cisplatin/carboplatin + gemcitabine/vinorelbina/paclitaxel).	
					genicitabilie/villoreibilia/paciitaxelj.	
ng status	Commons of clinical CAFETY				First-line therapy for non-squamous NSCLC is based	
HMP P.O. date: 27/02/2025	Safety was assessed in all the pts. who had undergone randomization and received at least one dose of any trial treatments (i.e.,				on platinum-based chemotherapy plus	
.A. date: 04/12/2024	durvalumab or chemotherapy) or placebo.				bevacizumab or pembrolizumab, or cisplatin/	
	AEs of any cause occurred in 96.5% of the pts., who received durvalumab and 94.7% of those, who received placebo. The incidence of grade				carboplatin plus pemetrexed [8].	
	3 or 4 AEs of any cause was similar in the two groups (42.4% in the durvalumab group and 43.2% in the placebo group, respectively).				The addition of durvalumab to these regimens could	
eed Approval Pathway: No	AEs that led to death possibly related to any trial treatment or placebo occurred in 1.7% of pts. in the durvalumab group and 0.5% of those				represent a new opportunity for these pts.	
VIATIONS:	L'				OTHER INDICATIONS IN DEVELOPMENT: Advanced	
erse Event	Ongoing studies:				Solid Malignancies (NCT03084471); Unresectable or	
inded independent central review	• For the same indication: Yes				Advanced Biliary Tract Cancers (NCT05924880,	
Committee for Medicinal Products for	For other indications: Yes				NCT03875235); Head and Neck Cancer (NCT02369874); Non-muscle Invasive Bladder	
use idential Interval	·				Cancer (NCT03528694, NCT05943106); Papillary	
thological complete response					Renal Cell Carcinoma (NCT05043090);	
					Hepatocellular carcinoma (NCT03847428,	
ard ratio	References: [1] https://www.ema.eu/on/medicines/human/EPAP/imfinzi				NCT03298451).	
ention-to-treat	[2] https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2024/761069s049lbl.pdf					
larketing Authorization	[3] https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2304875				SAME INDICATION IN EARLIER LINE(S) OF TREATMENT: -	
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer					TREATMENT: -	
	[6] https://acsjournals.onlinelibrary	.wiley.com/doi/10.3322/caac.20107			OTHER DRUCE IN DEVELOPMENT for the CANAL	
ients	[7] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8474201/ [8] https://www.esmo.org/content/download/87433/1608958/1/IT-Cancro-del-Polmone-non-a-Piccole-Cellule-NSCLC-Guida-per-il-Paziente.pdf				OTHER DRUGS IN DEVELOPMENT for the SAME INDICATION: Nivolumab (NCT01642004);	
					Atezolizumab (NCT04513925); Sacituzumab	
					Govitecan (NCT05089734).	
					*Service reorganization: No	
					*Possible off label use: Yes	
uvura ayy, itsidd/loovenge of nglister of	as adjuvant treatment after is indicated for the treatment s with resectable (tumors ≥ 4 / 0′0 node positive) NSCLC and with EGFR mutations or ALK gements [2]. If administration: IV	At the first interim analysis, the to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the 12-m (95% CI, 67.9 to 78.1), as computed as a single as adjuvant treatment after is indicated for the treatment is with resectable (tumors ≥ 4 for node positive) NSCLC and with EGFR mutations or ALK gements [2]. Base on final analysis Based on interim analysis (data from 402 pts) Summary of clinical SAFETY: Safety was assessed in all the durvalumab or chemotherapy) AES of any cause occurred in 96 3 or 4 AES of any cause was sin Grade 3 or 4 immune mediate. AES that led to death possibly in the placebo group, respective or see lential interval lological complete response stern Cooperative Oncology Group dermal growth factor receptor d ratio tion-to-treat enously reteting Authorization on-Small Cell Lung Cancer tive Opinion mon-Small Cell Lung Cancer tive Opinion remance Status ints	At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrer to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival (95% CI, 67.9 to 78.1), as compared with 64.5% of the pts., who received pl. so adjuvant treatment after is indicated for the treatment so with resectable (tumors ≥ 4/or node positive) NSCLC and wn EGFR mutations or ALK gements [2]. Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [3]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endpoint are reported in the table below [4]: Data on the pCR endp	At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, event-free survival was observed in 73.4% of the pt to 0.88, P=0.004). The pt to 0.88, P=0.004). At the 12-month landmark analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab or 0.88, P=0.004). The pt to 0.88, P=	At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.53 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, the HR in terms of disease progression, recurrence, or death for durvalumab vs. placebo was 0.68 [95% CI, 0.58 to 0.88; P=0.004]. At the first interim analysis, during the pts., who received placebo (95% CI, 5.8 to 69.6). At the first interim analysis, during the pts., who received placebo (95% CI, 5.8 to 69.6). Saturally first production of the pts., who received placebo (95% CI, 5.8 to 69.6). Summary of clinical SAFETY: Safety was assessed in all the pts., who had undergone randomization and received at least one dose of any trial treatments (i.e., durvalumab and (n=20). Placebo and placebo (pts. placebo (pts	